

A RECURRENCE RELATION FOR THE “INV” ANALOGUE OF q -EULERIAN POLYNOMIALS

CHAK-ON CHOW

ABSTRACT. We study in the present work a recurrence relation, which has long been overlooked, for the q -Eulerian polynomial $A_n^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{\text{des}(\sigma)} q^{\text{inv}(\sigma)}$, where $\text{des}(\sigma)$ and $\text{inv}(\sigma)$ denote, respectively, the descent number and inversion number of σ in the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n of degree n . We give an algebraic proof and a combinatorial proof of the recurrence relation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathfrak{S}_n denote the symmetric group of degree n . Any element σ of \mathfrak{S}_n is represented by the word $\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_n$, where $\sigma_i = \sigma(i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Two well-studied statistics on \mathfrak{S}_n are the descent number and the inversion number defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{des}(\sigma) &:= \sum_{i=1}^n \chi(\sigma_i > \sigma_{i+1}), \\ \text{inv}(\sigma) &:= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \chi(\sigma_i > \sigma_j), \end{aligned}$$

respectively, where $\sigma_{n+1} := 0$ and $\chi(P) = 1$ or 0 depending on whether the statement P is true or not. It is well-known that des is Eulerian and that inv is Mahonian. The generating function of the Euler-Mahonian pair (des, inv) over \mathfrak{S}_n is the following q -Eulerian polynomial:

$$A_n^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q) := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{\text{des}(\sigma)} q^{\text{inv}(\sigma)}.$$

It is clear that $A_n(t, 1) \equiv A_n(t)$, the classical Eulerian polynomial. Let z and q be commuting indeterminates. For $n \geq 0$, let $[n]_q := 1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{n-1}$ be a q -integer, and $[n]_q! := [1]_q[2]_q \cdots [n]_q$ be a q -factorial. Define a q -exponential function by

$$e(z; q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{z^n}{[n]_q!}.$$

Stanley [6] proved that

$$(1) \quad A^{\text{des,inv}}(x, t; q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} A_n^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q) \frac{x^n}{[n]_q!} = \frac{1-t}{1-te(x(1-t); q)}.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05A05, 05A15.

Key words and phrases. Permutations, descents, inversions, Eulerian polynomials, recurrence relation.

Alternate proofs of (1) have also been given by Garsia [4] and Gessel [5]. Désarménien and Foata [2] observed that the right side of (1) is precisely

$$\left(1 - t \sum_{n \geq 1} (1-t)^{n-1} \frac{x^n}{[n]_q!}\right)^{-1},$$

and from which they obtained a “semi” q -recurrence relation for $A_n^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q)$, namely,

$$A_n^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q) = t(1-t)^{n-1} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q A_i^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q) t(1-t)^{n-1-i}.$$

The above q -recurrence relation is “semi” in the sense that the summands on the right involve two factors one of which depends on q whereas the other does not. We shall establish in the present note that a “fully” q -recurrence relation for $A_n^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q)$ exists such that both factors of the summands depend on q (see Theorem 2.2 below). In the next section, we derive this recurrence relation algebraically. In the final section, we give a combinatorial proof of this recurrence relation.

2. THE RECURRENCE RELATION

We derive in the present section the recurrence relation by algebraic means.

Let \mathbb{Q} denote, as customary, the set of rational numbers. Let x be an indeterminate, $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ be the ring of polynomials in x over \mathbb{Q} , and $\mathbb{Q}[[x]]$ the ring of formal power series in x over \mathbb{Q} . We introduce an Eulerian differential operator δ_x in x by

$$\delta_x(f(x)) = \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{qx - x},$$

for any $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[q][[x]]$ in the ring of formal power series in x over $\mathbb{Q}[q]$. It is easy to see that

$$\delta_x(x^n) = [n]_q x^{n-1},$$

so that as $q \rightarrow 1$, $\delta_x(x^n) \rightarrow nx^{n-1}$, the usual derivative of x^n . See [1] for further properties of δ_x .

LEMMA 2.1. *We have $\delta_x(e(x(1-t); q)) = (1-t)e(x(1-t); q)$.*

Proof. This follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_x(e(x(1-t); q)) &= \frac{e(qx(1-t); q) - e(x(1-t); q)}{(q-1)x} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^n x^n (1-t)^n - x^n (1-t)^n}{(q-1)x [n]_q!} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{x^{n-1} (1-t)^n}{[n-1]_q!} \\ &= (1-t)e(x(1-t); q). \end{aligned}$$

□

THEOREM 2.2. For $n \geq 1$, $A_n^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q)$ satisfies

$$(2) \quad A_{n+1}^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q) = (1 + tq^n)A_n^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q q^k A_{n-k}^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q) A_k^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q).$$

Proof. From (1) we have that

$$(3) \quad te(x(1-t); q) = \frac{A^{\text{des,inv}}(x, t; q) - (1-t)}{A^{\text{des,inv}}(x, t; q)}.$$

Applying δ_x to both sides of (1), and using Lemma 2.1, (1) and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} A_{n+1}^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q) \frac{x^n}{[n]_q!} &= \frac{(1-t)}{(q-1)x} \left(\frac{1}{1 - te(qx(1-t); q)} - \frac{1}{1 - te(x(1-t); q)} \right) \\ &= \frac{t(1-t)\delta_x(e(x(1-t); q))}{[1 - te(x(1-t); q)][1 - te(qx(1-t); q)]} \\ &= \frac{t(1-t)^2 e(x(1-t); q)}{[1 - te(x(1-t); q)][1 - te(qx(1-t); q)]} \\ &= [A^{\text{des,inv}}(x, t; q) - (1-t)] A^{\text{des,inv}}(qx, t; q). \end{aligned}$$

Extracting the coefficients of x^n , we finally have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n+1}^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q q^k A_{n-k}^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q) A_k^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q) - (1-t)q^n A_n^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q) \\ &= (1 + tq^n)A_n^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q q^k A_{n-k}^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q) A_k^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q). \end{aligned}$$

□

The identity (2) is a q -analogue of the following convolution-type recurrence [3, p. 70]

$$A_{n+1}(t) = (1+t)A_n(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} A_{n-k}(t)A_k(t),$$

satisfied by the classical Eulerian polynomials $A_n(t) := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{\text{des}(\sigma)}$.

3. A COMBINATORIAL PROOF

We give a combinatorial proof of Theorem 2.2 in the present section.

Recall that elements of \mathfrak{S}_{n+1} can be obtained by inserting $n+1$ to elements of \mathfrak{S}_n . Let $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_n \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. Denote by $\sigma_{+k} = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k(n+1)\sigma_{k+1} \cdots \sigma_n$, $0 \leq k \leq n$. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{des}(\sigma_{+0}) &= \text{des}(\sigma) + 1, & \text{inv}(\sigma_{+0}) &= \text{inv}(\sigma) + n, \\ \text{des}(\sigma_{+n}) &= \text{des}(\sigma), & \text{inv}(\sigma_{+n}) &= \text{inv}(\sigma), \end{aligned}$$

and for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{des}(\sigma_{+k}) &= \text{des}(\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k) + \text{des}(\sigma_{k+1} \cdots \sigma_n), \\ \text{inv}(\sigma_{+k}) &= \text{inv}(\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k) + \text{inv}(\sigma_{k+1} \cdots \sigma_n) \\ &\quad + n - k + \#\{(r, s) : \sigma_r > \sigma_s, 1 \leq r \leq k, k+1 \leq s \leq n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $S = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k\}$. Then the partial permutations $\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k \in \mathfrak{S}(S)$ and $\sigma_{k+1} \cdots \sigma_n \in \mathfrak{S}([n] \setminus S)$, where $\mathfrak{S}(S)$ denotes the group of permutations of the set S . It is clear that the product $\mathfrak{S}(S) \times \mathfrak{S}([n] \setminus S)$ is a subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_n isomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}_k \times \mathfrak{S}_{n-k}$. Also, the quotient $\mathfrak{S}_n / (\mathfrak{S}_k \times \mathfrak{S}_{n-k}) \cong \binom{[n]}{k}$ (see [8, p. 351]), where $\binom{[n]}{k}$ denotes the set of all k -subsets of $[n]$, which is in bijective correspondence with the set of multipermutations $\mathfrak{S}(\{1^k, 2^{n-k}\})$ of the multiset $\{1^k, 2^{n-k}\}$ consisting of k copies of 1's and $n-k$ copies of 2's.

Define a multipermutation $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n \in \mathfrak{S}(\{1^k, 2^{n-k}\})$ by

$$w_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in S = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k\}, \\ 2 & \text{if } i \in [n] \setminus S = \{\sigma_{k+1}, \dots, \sigma_n\}. \end{cases}$$

Let $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. It is clear that (i, j) is an inversion of w if and only if $i = \sigma_s$, $j = \sigma_r$ for some $1 \leq r \leq k$, $k+1 \leq s \leq n$ and $\sigma_r > \sigma_s$, so that

$$\#\{(r, s) : \sigma_r > \sigma_s, 1 \leq r \leq k, k+1 \leq s \leq n\} = \text{inv}(w).$$

As S ranges over $\binom{[n]}{k}$, w so defined ranges over $\mathfrak{S}(\{1^k, 2^{n-k}\})$. Putting pieces together and using the fact [7, Proposition 1.3.17] that

$$\sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}(\{1^k, 2^{n-k}\})} q^{\text{inv}(w)} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \quad & A_{n+1}^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{\text{des}(\sigma_{+k})} q^{\text{inv}(\sigma_{+k})} \\ &= (1 + tq^n) A_n^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k \in \mathfrak{S}_k \\ \sigma_{k+1} \cdots \sigma_n \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-k} \\ w \in \mathfrak{S}(\{1^k, 2^{n-k}\})}} t^{\text{des}(\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k) + \text{des}(\sigma_{k+1} \cdots \sigma_n)} q^{\text{inv}(\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k) + \text{inv}(\sigma_{k+1} \cdots \sigma_n) + n - k + \text{inv}(w)} \\ &= (1 + tq^n) A_n^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} q^{n-k} \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}(\{1^k, 2^{n-k}\})} q^{\text{inv}(w)} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_k} t^{\text{des}(\tau)} q^{\text{inv}(\tau)} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-k}} t^{\text{des}(\pi)} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)} \\ &= (1 + tq^n) A_n^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} q^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q A_k^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q) A_{n-k}^{\text{des,inv}}(t, q), \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (2) (by virtue of the symmetry of the q -binomial coefficient).

REFERENCES

- [1] G.E. Andrews, On the foundations of combinatorial theory V, Eulerian differential operators, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **50** (1971), 345–375.
- [2] J. Désarménien and D. Foata, Signed Eulerian numbers, *Discrete Math.* **99** (1992), 49–58.
- [3] D. Foata and M.-P. Schützenberger, Théorie géométrique des polynômes Eulériens, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 138, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970.
- [4] A.M. Garsia, On the “maj” and “inv” analogues of Eulerian polynomials, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **8** (1979), 21–34.
- [5] I.M. Gessel, *Generating Functions and Enumeration of Sequences*, Ph.D. thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, June 1977.
- [6] R.P. Stanley, Binomial posets, Möbius inversion and permutation enumeration, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **20** (1976), 336–356.
- [7] R.P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, vol. 1, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [8] R.P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, HONG KONG INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, 10 LO PING ROAD, TAI PO, NEW TERRITORIES, HONG KONG

E-mail address: cchow@alum.mit.edu